

Budget \& Finance Committee Meeting Tuesday, February 16, 2021 at 1:00 PM

Valley Sanitary District Board
45-500 Van Buren Street, Indio, CA 92201

## VSD

## *****SPECIAL NOTICE - VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE*****

Pursuant to the Governor's Executive Order N-25-20 issued on March 4, 2020 and N-29-20 issued on March 18, 2020; The Budget \& Finance Committee special meeting will be conducted remotely through Zoom. Members of the public wanting to participate in the open session of the meeting may do so via the following Zoom registration link
https://zoom.us/j/93995876880?.pwd=THZOSDI3bnJHMjcwMXdPRURSTExoZz09 Meeting ID 93995876880 or by telephone by calling 669-900-9128.

Members of the public wanting to address the Board, either during public comment or for a specific agenda item, or both, are requested to send an email notification no later than 12:30 p.m. on the day of the meeting to the Valley Sanitary District's Clerk of the Board at hgould@valley-sanitary.org.

## 1. CALL TO ORDER

1.1. Roll Call

### 1.2. Pledge of Allegiance

## 2. PUBLIC COMMENT

This is the time set aside for public comment on any item not appearing on the agenda. Please notify the Secretary in advance of the meeting if you wish to speak on a non-hearing item.
3. DISCUSSION / ACTIONS ITEMS
3.1. Discussion of the Wastewater Rate Study Presented by NBS
3.1 Review Wastewater Rate Study.pdf (2)
3.1 Attachment Wastewater Rate Study.pdf $(2)$

## 4. FUTURE MEETING ITEMS

## 5. ADJOURNMENT

Pursuant to the Brown Act, items may not be added to this agenda unless the Secretary to the Board has at least 72 hours advance notice prior to the time and date posted on this notice.

Valley Sanitary District Budget \& Finance Committee February 16, 2021

TO: Budget \& Finance Committee
FROM: Jeanette Juarez, Business Services Manager
SUBJECT: Discussion of the Wastewater Rate Study Presented by NBS

| $\square$ Board Action | $\square$ New Budget Approval | $\square$ Contract Award |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boxtimes$ Board Information | $\square$ Existing FY Approved Budget | $\square$ Closed Session |

## Executive Summary

The purpose of this report is to review and discuss the presentation of the wastewater rate study presented by NBS.

## Strategic Plan Compliance

This item complies with VSD Strategic Plan Objective 5.3: Update rate structure to ensure it is up-to-date and fair to all classes of customers.

## Fiscal Impact

There is no fiscal impact from this report.

## Background

At the August 25, 2020 Board Meeting, the Board authorized the General Manager to execute a contract with NBS to perform a Comprehensive Wastewater Rate Study.

NBS has prepared a wastewater rate study presentation to review and examine four (4) components:

1. Financial Plan
2. Cost-of-Service Analysis
3. Rate Design \& Proposed Rates
4. Monthly Bill Impacts

The rates presented in this report are preliminary and aligned to meet the District's financial plan and revenue requirements. The proposed rates are also designed in a "fair and equitable" manner that complies with Prop 218.

## Recommendation

Recommend that the Budget and Finance Committee receive this report for information.

## Attachments

Attachment A: Wastewater Rate Study Presentation


## Presentation Overview

$>$ Key Rate Study Issues \& Assumptions
$>$ Rate Study Technical Components
> Review Wastewater Rate Study:

- Financial Plan
- Cost-of-Service Analysis
- Rate Design \& Proposed Rates
- Monthly Bill Impacts
$>$ Overview of Proposition 218 Process
$>$ Next Steps
> Timeline
® > Questions \& Discussion


## Key Rate Study Issues

> The rates presented today are preliminary; additional work needs to be done on the customer data.
> The number of dwelling units for Multi-Family Residential accounts was not available, so rates were calculated based on the number of accounts (or parcels).
> There are approximately 4,525 IWA water accounts (1.5 million HCF) that could not be matched to an APN. This excludes all city, irrigation, construction, and landscape \& light accounts.

## Key Rate Study Assumptions

> Combined all Commercial accounts into two categories:

1. Commercial - Low Strength (e.g., medical, churches, offices, shopping centers, etc.)
2. Commercial - High Strength (e.g., restaurants, groceries, hotels with dining, etc.).
> For Mobile Home and RV Parks, the number of accounts is based on the number of total lots.
> Timeshare units are charged the same as other multiunit parcels (i.e., condominiums).

## Rate Study Technical Components

## FINANCIAL PLAN / REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

Step 1: Financial Plan/ Revenue Requirements -
Compares current sources and uses of funds and determines the revenue needed from rates and projected rate adjustments.

Step 2: Cost-of-Service Analysis - Allocates the revenue requirements to the customer classes in a "fair and equitable" manner that complies with Prop 218.

Step 3: Rate Design Considers what rate structure alternatives will best meet the Utility's needs to collect rate revenue from each customer class.

## Financial Plan



## Financial Plan: 30-Year Debt Issue

## Financial Alternative: Full CIP with a \$185 Million Debt Issue

| Summary of Sources and Uses of Funds and Net Revenue Requirements | Budget | Projected Rates for Adoption Period |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 2020/21 | FY 2021/22 | FY 2022/23 | FY 2023/24 | FY 2024/25 | FY 2025/26 |
| Sources of Sewer Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rate Revenue Under Prevailing Rates | \$ 11,979,000 | \$ 12,098,790 | \$ 12,219,778 | \$ 12,341,976 | \$ 12,465,395 | \$ 12,590,049 |
| Additional Revenue from Rate Increases ${ }^{1}$ |  | 1,451,855 | 3,108,711 | 5,307,247 | 8,034,177 | 8,942,701 |
| Non-Rate Revenues | 1,072,300 | 1,223,505 | 1,257,390 | 1,225,070 | 1,268,723 | 1,392,709 |
| Total Sources of Funds | \$ 13,051,300 | \$ 14,774,150 | \$ 16,585,879 | \$ 18,874,293 | \$ 21,768,295 | \$ 22,925,460 |
| Uses of Sewer Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses | \$ 8,092,960 | \$ 8,878,352 | \$ 9,470,994 | \$ 9,808,141 | \$ 10,157,538 | \$ 10,519,638 |
| Debt Service | 1,445,048 | 3,827,601 | 3,826,851 | 3,825,913 | 8,601,269 | 8,601,019 |
| Rate-Funded Capital Expenses | 350,000 | 1,456,296 | 629,681 | 1,854,235 | 343,492 | 1,006,391 |
| Total Use of Funds | \$ 9,888,008 | \$ 14,162,250 | \$ 13,927,526 | \$ 15,488,290 | \$ 19,102,299 | \$ 20,127,048 |
| Surplus (Deficiency) before Rate Increase | \$ 3,163,292 | \$ 611,900 | \$ 2,658,353 | \$ 3,386,003 | \$ 2,665,996 | \$ 2,798,412 |
| Surplus (Deficiency) after Rate Increase | \$ 3,163,292 | \$ 2,063,755 | \$ 5,767,064 | \$ 8,693,250 | \$ 10,700,173 | \$ 11,741,113 |
| Projected Annual Rate Increase | 0.00\% | 12.00\% | 12.00\% | 14.00\% | 15.00\% | 4.00\% |
| Cumulative Rate Increases | 0.00\% | 12.00\% | 25.44\% | 43.00\% | 64.45\% | 71.03\% |
| Net Revenue Requirement ${ }^{2}$ | \$ 8,815,708 | \$ 12,938,745 | \$ 12,670,137 | \$ 14,263,220 | \$ 17,833,576 | \$ 18,734,339 |
| Debt Coverage After Rate Increase | 3.43 | 1.54 | 1.86 | 2.37 | 1.35 | 1.44 |

1. Assumes new rates are implemented July 1, 2021 and on July 1st each year thereafter.
2. Total Use of Funds less Non-Rate Revenues. This is the annual amount needed from wastewater rates.
fund tomorrow

## Financial Plan: 30-Year Debt Issue, cont.

Financial Alternative: Full CIP with a \$185 Million Debt Issue

|  | Beginning Reserve Fund Balances and Recommended Reserve Targets |  | Budget | Projected Rates for Adoption Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FY 2020/21 |  | FY 2021/22 |  | FY 2022/23 |  | FY 2023/24 |  | FY 2024/25 |  | FY 2025/26 |  |
|  | Un-Restricted Reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Operating Reserve Ending Balance | \$ | 4,046,500 | \$ | 4,439,200 | \$ | 4,735,500 | \$ | 4,904,100 | \$ | 5,078,800 | \$ | 5,259,800 |
|  | Recommended Minimum Target | \$ | 4,046,500 | \$ | 4,439,200 | \$ | 4,735,500 | \$ | 4,904,100 | \$ | 5,078,800 | \$ | 5,259,800 |
|  | Capital Rehabilitation \& Replacement Reserve Ending Balance | \$ | 22,409,818 | \$ | 21,980,568 | \$ | 24,756,085 | \$ | 28,432,742 | \$ | 31,443,363 | \$ | 34,702,969 |
|  | Recommended Minimum Target | \$ | 3,237,184 | \$ | 3,551,341 | \$ | 3,788,398 | \$ | 3,923,257 | \$ | 4,063,015 | \$ | 4,207,855 |
|  | Emergency Fund Balance Ending Balance | \$ | 404,648 | \$ | 443,918 | \$ | 443,918 | \$ | 443,918 | \$ | 443,918 | \$ | 443,918 |
|  | Recommended Minimum Target | \$ | 404,648 | \$ | 443,918 | \$ | 473,550 | \$ | 490,407 | \$ | 507,877 | \$ | 525,982 |
|  | Total Ending Balance | \$ | 26,860,966 | \$ | 26,863,685 | \$ | 29,935,502 | \$ | 33,780,760 | \$ | 36,966,081 | \$ | 40,406,687 |
|  | Recommended Minimum Target | \$ | 7,688,332 | \$ | 8,434,459 | \$ | 8,997,447 | \$ | 9,317,764 | \$ | 9,649,692 | \$ | 9,993,637 |
|  | Restricted Reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Connection Fee Reserve Ending Balance | \$ | 6,838,607 | \$ | 404,648 | \$ | 443,918 | \$ | 443,918 | \$ | 443,918 | \$ | 443,918 |
|  | Recommended Minimum Target | \$ | - | \$ | 39,270 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
|  | Debt Reserve Fund Ending Balance | \$ | 317,042 | \$ | 2,663,967 | \$ | 2,620,170 | \$ | 2,571,560 | \$ | 7,290,904 | \$ | 7,229,725 |
|  | Recommended Minimum Target | \$ | 317,042 | \$ | 2,663,967 | \$ | 2,620,170 | \$ | 2,571,560 | \$ | 7,290,904 | \$ | 7,229,725 |
|  | CSWRCB Reserve Fund Ending Balance | \$ | 1,123,323 | \$ | 1,140,173 | \$ | 1,157,275 | \$ | 1,174,634 | \$ | 1,192,254 | \$ | 1,210,138 |
|  | Recommended Minimum Target | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 00 \\ & 00 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Total Ending Balance | \$ | 8,278,972 | \$ | 4,208,787 | \$ | 4,221,363 | \$ | 4,190,112 | \$ | 8,927,076 | \$ | 8,883,780 |
|  | Recommended Minimum Target | \$ | 317,042 | \$ | 2,703,236 | \$ | 2,620,170 | \$ | 2,571,560 | \$ | 7,290,904 | \$ | 7,229,725 |

## Financial Plan: 30-Year Debt Issue, cont.

Financial Alternative: Full CIP with a \$185 Million Debt Issue


## Financial Plan: 30-Year Debt Issue, cont.

Financial Alternative: Full CIP with a $\$ 185$ Million Debt Issue


## Cost-of-Service Analysis



## Cost－of－Service Analysis（COSA）

Flow related costs are primarily related to the sewer collection system which transports effluent from customers to the treatment facility．



## Cost-of-Service Analysis (COSA), cont.

## Total Revenue Requirement is Distributed to Each Functional Cost Component:

| Percentage Allocated to Each Factor |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Customer Class | Volume | BOD | TSS | Customer |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |
| Single-Family Residential | $73.5 \%$ | $60.4 \%$ | $60.7 \%$ | $59.8 \%$ |
| Multi-Family Residential | $9.5 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ |
| Mobile Home | $2.8 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| RV Park | $3.7 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| Non-Residential Totals |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial - Low Strength | $2.4 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| Commercial - High Strength | $8.1 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 0 \%}$ | $11.4 \%$ |
| Total: | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## Cost-of-Service Analysis (COSA), cont.

## Financial Alternative: Full CIP with a \$185 Million Debt Issue

## Total Revenue Requirement of $\mathbf{\$ 1 3 , 5 5 0 , 6 4 5}$ is Distributed to Each Customer Class Based on the Allocation Factors:

Allocation of FY 2021/22 Revenue Requirements by Customer Class

| Customer Class | Cost Classification Components |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-of-Service Net Revenue Req'ts. |  | \% of COS Net Revenue Req'ts. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume |  | Treatment |  |  |  | Customer <br> Related |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | BOD |  | TSS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Revenue Requirements ${ }^{1}$ | \$ | 7,170,133 | \$ | 2,034,414 | \$ | 2,034,414 | \$ | 2,311,682 |  | 13,550,645 | -- |
|  |  | 52.9\% |  | 15.0\% |  | 15.0\% |  | 17.1\% |  | 100.0\% |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single-Family Residential | \$ | 5,267,437 | \$ | 1,229,152 | \$ | 1,235,333 | \$ | 1,818,533 |  | 9,550,455 | 70.5\% |
| Multi-Family Residential |  | 683,221 |  | 159,429 |  | 160,231 |  | 27,134 |  | 1,030,014 | 7.6\% |
| Mobile Home ${ }^{2}$ |  | 199,273 |  | 46,500 |  | 46,734 |  | 139,131 |  | 431,638 | 3.2\% |
| RV Park ${ }^{2}$ |  | 268,766 |  | 46,589 |  | 28,815 |  | 256,408 |  | 600,579 | 4.4\% |
| Residential Totals | \$ | 6,418,697 | \$ | 1,481,670 | \$ | 1,471,112 | \$ | 2,241,207 |  | 11,612,686 | 85.7\% |
| Non-Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial - Low Strength | \$ | 171,025 | \$ | 34,207 | \$ | 34,379 | \$ | 9,288 | \$ | 248,899 | 1.8\% |
| Commercial - High Strength |  | 580,412 |  | 518,537 |  | 528,923 |  | 61,188 |  | 1,689,060 | 12.5\% |
| Non-Residential Totals | \$ | 751,437 | \$ | 552,744 | \$ | 563,302 | \$ | 70,476 | \$ | 1,937,959 | 14.3\% |
| Total | \$ | 7,170,133 | \$ | 2,034,414 | \$ | 2,034,414 | \$ | 2,311,682 |  | 13,550,645 | 100\% |

1. Revenue requirement for each customer class is determined by multiplying the revenue requirement from each cost classification by the allocation factors for each customer class.
2. Number of accounts for Mobile Homes and RV Parks are based on the number of lots.
fund tomorrow

## Rate Design \& Proposed Rates



## Rate Study Alternatives Considered

## Four Rate Alternatives were Evaluated:

> Current Rate Structure (same fixed rates for all customers)
> Revenue Collected from Fixed Rates - Varies by Class
> Revenue Collected from Fixed vs. Volumetric Rates:

- 50\% Fixed / 50\% Vol.
- 70\% Fixed / 30\% Vol.



## Proposed Rates - 30-Year Debt Issue

## Financial Alternative: Full CIP with a \$185 Million Debt Issue

Alternative 1-100\% Fixed based on EDU - Same for all Classes

| Sewer Rate Schedule | Current Rates | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FY 2021/22 | FY 2022/23 | FY 2023/24 | FY 2024/25 | FY 2025/26 |
| Projected Increase in Rate Revenue per Financial Plan: |  | 12.00\% | 12.00\% | 14.00\% | 15.00\% | 4.00\% |
| Annual Service Charges |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Per EDU (All Customer Classes) | \$330.00 | \$405.40 | \$454.05 | \$517.62 | \$595.26 | \$619.07 |

## Proposed Rates - 30-Year Debt Issue, cont.

## Financial Alternative: Full CIP with a \$185 Million Debt Issue

## Alternative 2-100\% Fixed based on EDU - Varies by Class

| Sewer Rate Schedule | Current <br> Rates | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FY 2021/22 | FY 2022/23 | FY 2023/24 | FY 2024/25 | FY 2025/26 |
| Projected Increase in Rate Revenue per Financial Plan: |  | 12.00\% | 12.00\% | 14.00\% | 15.00\% | 4.00\% |
| Fixed Service Charges (Per EDU) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single-Family Residential | \$330.00 | \$478.00 | \$535.36 | \$610.31 | \$701.86 | \$729.93 |
| Multi-Family Residential | \$330.00 | \$185.05 | \$207.26 | \$236.28 | \$271.72 | \$282.59 |
| Mobile Home | \$330.00 | \$284.44 | \$318.57 | \$363.17 | \$417.65 | \$434.36 |
| RV Park | \$330.00 | \$380.96 | \$426.68 | \$486.42 | \$559.38 | \$581.76 |
| Non-Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial - Low Strength | \$330.00 | \$251.29 | \$281.44 | \$320.84 | \$368.97 | \$383.73 |
| Commercial - High Strength | \$330.00 | \$445.13 | \$498.55 | \$568.35 | \$653.60 | \$679.74 |

## Proposed Rates - 30-Year Debt Issue, cont.

## Financial Alternative: Full CIP with a \$185 Million Debt Issue

## Alternative 3-50\% Fixed / 50\% Volumetric

| Sewer Rate Schedule | Current <br> Rates | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FY 2021/22 | FY 2022/23 | FY 2023/24 | FY 2024/25 | FY 2025/26 |
| Projected Increase in Rate Revenue per Financial Plan: |  | 12.00\% | 12.00\% | 14.00\% | 15.00\% | 4.00\% |
| Fixed Service Charges |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | Rate Per EDU | Rates Per EDU |  |  |  |  |
| Single-Family Residential | \$330.00 | \$239.00 | \$267.68 | \$305.16 | \$350.93 | \$364.97 |
| Multi-Family Residential ** | \$330.00 | \$1,728.21 | \$1,935.60 | \$2,206.58 | \$2,537.57 | \$2,639.07 |
| Mobile Home | \$330.00 | \$142.22 | \$159.29 | \$181.59 | \$208.83 | \$217.18 |
| RV Park | \$330.00 | \$190.48 | \$213.34 | \$243.21 | \$279.69 | \$290.88 |
| Non-Residential | Rate Per EDU | Rates Per Account |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial - Low Strength | \$330.00 | \$1,220.09 | \$1,366.50 | \$1,557.81 | \$1,791.48 | \$1,863.14 |
| Commercial - High Strength | \$330.00 | \$1,256.74 | \$1,407.55 | \$1,604.61 | \$1,845.30 | \$1,919.11 |
| Volumetric Rate (\$/hcf of annualized average winter water consumption) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential <br> Single-Family Residential <br> Multi-Family Residential ** <br> Mobile Home <br> RV Park <br> Non-Residential <br> Commercial - Low Strength <br> Commercial - High Strength |  | Rates Per HCF |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- | \$1.58 | \$1.77 | \$2.02 | \$2.32 | \$2.41 |
|  | -- | \$1.58 | \$1.77 | \$2.02 | \$2.32 | \$2.41 |
|  | -- | \$1.58 | \$1.77 | \$2.02 | \$2.32 | \$2.41 |
|  | -- | \$1.97 | \$2.21 | \$2.52 | \$2.90 | \$3.02 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- | \$1.28 | \$1.43 | \$1.63 | \$1.87 | \$1.94 |
|  | -- | \$2.57 | \$2.88 | \$3.28 | \$3.77 | \$3.92 |

## Proposed Rates - 30-Year Debt Issue, cont.

## Financial Alternative: Full CIP with a \$185 Million Debt Issue

## Alternative 4-70\% Fixed / 30\% Volumetric



## Customer Bill Impacts



## Bill Impact - Residential: 30-Year Debt Issue

Financial Alternative: Full CIP with a \$185 Million Debt Issue
Single Family Residential Sewer Bill Comparison
Current vs. Proposed Rates (FY 2021/22)


## Bill Impact - Multi-Family: 30-Year Debt Issue

Financial Alternative: Full CIP with a $\$ 185$ Million Debt Issue
Multi-Family Residential Sewer Bill Comparison
Current vs. Proposed Rates (FY 2021/22)


## Bill Impact - Mobile Home: 30-Year Debt Issue

Financial Alternative: Full CIP with a $\$ 185$ Million Debt Issue
Mobile Home Sewer Bill Comparison
Current vs. Proposed Rates (FY 2021/22)


## Commercial - Low Strength: 30-Year Debt Issue

Financial Alternative: Full CIP with a $\$ 185$ Million Debt Issue

## Commercial Low Strength - Sewer Bill Comparison

Current vs. Proposed Rates (FY 2021/22)


## Commercial - High Strength: 30-Year Debt Issue

## Financial Alternative: Full CIP with a $\$ 185$ Million Debt Issue

## Commercial High Strength - Sewer Bill Comparison

 Current vs. Proposed Rates (FY 2021/22)

## Overview of Proposition 218 Process

## Procedural Requirements for the Prop. 218 Protest Procedure:

1. Board directs staff to mail out 218 Notices.
2. Mail Notices to all customers/property owners.
3. Hold Public Hearing no sooner than 45-days after mailing notices.
4. Collect and count all written protests collected through the end of the Public Hearing.
5. If no majority protest $(50 \%+1)$, new rates can be adopted.

## Next Steps

$>$ Accept the draft rate study (or request changes) and select rate alternatives to proceed with.
> Review final report and direct staff to mail Proposition 218 Notice, Or provide direction on modifications
> Complete Proposition 218 Protest Ballot Process
> Board can approve new rates after the Public Hearing if there is no majority protest

## Timeline

| Project Timeline | January |  |  |  | February |  |  |  |  | March |  |  |  | April |  |  |  | May |  |  |  | June |  |  |  | July |  |  |  | August |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# Weeks from January 19th |  | -- | -- | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
| Tasks to Complete in Rate Study and Tax Roll Submittal: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer Classificaitons |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rate Alternatives |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Final Rate Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop. 218 Notification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Hearing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Finalize New Tax Rolls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |  |  |
| Active Task Work, Notification Period <br> Review/Meeting with District Staff <br> Review/Meeting with Board or Finance Committee <br> Submittal of Tax Roll to Riverside County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Questions \& Discussion

## Supplemental Charts



## Bill Impact - Residential: 30-Year Debt Issue

Financial Alternative: Full CIP with a $\$ 185$ Million Debt Issue


## Bill Impact - Residential: 30-Year Debt Issue

Financial Alternative: Full CIP with a $\$ 185$ Million Debt Issue


## Bill Impact - MFR: 30-Year Debt Issue

Financial Alternative: Full CIP with a \$185 Million Debt Issue


## Bill Impact - MH: 30-Year Debt Issue

Financial Alternative: Full CIP with a \$185 Million Debt Issue

$\stackrel{\omega}{0}$
$\stackrel{1}{\circ}$
$\pm$
$\pm$

## Commercial - Low Strength: 30-Year Debt Issue

Financial Alternative: Full CIP with a \$185 Million Debt Issue


## Commercial - High Strength: 30-Year Debt Issue

Financial Alternative: Full CIP with a \$185 Million Debt Issue
Commercial High Strength Annual Sewer Bills - 5-Year Projections
30-Year Debt Issue: Full CIP with a \$185 Million Debt Issue


Note: Annual bills are based on average winter water consumption of 490 hcf per year and 10 EDU's on average per commercial account.

